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ABSTRACT

Web caching plays a key role in delivering web items to end users in World Wide Web (WWW). On the other hand, cache size is considered as a limitation of web caching. Furthermore, retrieving the same media object from the origin server many times consumes the network bandwidth. Furthermore, full caching for media objects is not a practical solution and consumes cache storage in keeping few media objects because of its limited capacity. Moreover, traditional web caching policies such as Least Recently Used (LRU), Least Frequently Used (LFU), and Greedy Dual Size (GDS) suffer from caching pollution (i.e. media objects that are stored in the cache are not frequently visited which negatively affects on the performance of web proxy caching). In this work, intelligent cooperative web caching approaches based on J48 decision tree and Naïve Bayes (NB) supervised machine learning algorithms are presented. The proposed approaches take the advantages of structured peer-to-peer systems where the contents of peers’ caches are shared using Distributed Hash Table (DHT) in order to enhance the performance of the web caching policy. The performance of the proposed approaches is evaluated by running a trace-driven simulation on a dataset that is collected from IRCache network. The results demonstrate that the new proposed policies improve the performance of traditional web caching policies that are LRU, LFU, and GDS in terms of Hit
Ratio (HR) and Byte Hit Ratio (BHR). Moreover, the results are compared to the most relevant and state-of-the-art web proxy caching policies.
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## INTRODUCTION

Web caching is a technique where local copies of web page are stored in places close to the end-users. Web caches are used to improve the performance of the World Wide Web (WWW) (Yasin et al., 2014a). Many benefits can be gained from caching such as improving the hit rates, alleviating loads on origin servers, and reducing network traffic. However, due to cache size limitations, new approaches are required to improve the cache efficiency (Ali et al., 2012b). On the other hand, traditional web caching algorithms such as Least Recently Used (LRU), Least Frequently Used (LFU), and Greedy Dual Size (GDS) suffer from caching pollution where the most popular media objects get the most requests, while a large portion of media objects that are stored in the cache are not frequently visited (Koskela et al., 2003; Arlitt et al., 2000).

Cache replacement is the core of web caching which is the procedure that has to be taken when the cache is full while there are web objects to be cached. Thus, it is common that a web caching policy is defined according to the cache replacement algorithm which is used by this web caching policy. This work presents new policies based on machine learning algorithms and sharing information about peers caches’ contents in order to enhance traditional web caching policies that are LRU, LFU, and GDS.

Many variants of LRU, LFU, and GDS have been presented in the literature (Stefan & Böszörmenyi, 2003) such as SVM-LRU, NB-LRU, LFU-Aging (Ali et al., 2012a; Ali et al., 2012b), and Least Frequently Used with Dynamic Aging (LFU-DA) (ElAarag, 2013; Zink & Shenoy, 2005).

Cooperative web caching is a way of sharing web contents in a peer-to-peer system based on supervised machine learning algorithms. The proposed policies take the advantages of structured peer-to-peer systems where peers’ caches contents are shared using Distributed Hash Table (DHT). Therefore, it improves the performance of web caching policies (Hara et al., 2010).

In this work, two supervised machine learning algorithms are adopted that are: Naïve Bayes (NB) classifier and J48 decision tree classifier. They are popular supervised machine learning algorithms that have been applied successfully
in many domains (Ali et al., 2011; Sulaiman et al., 2008). In this work, NB and J48 classifiers are incorporated effectively with traditional web caching policies (Ali et al., 2012a).

The aim of this work is to present intelligent cooperative web caching policies that have better performance in terms of Hit Ratio (HR) and Byte Hit Ratio (BHR) based on NB and J48 supervised machine learning algorithms in peer-to-peer systems. A trace-driven simulation is carried out to evaluate the performance of the proposed policies. Furthermore, the results are compared to traditional and state-of-the-art web proxy caching policies.

In the works of Yasin et al. (2014a) and Yasin et al. (2014b), we have presented a brief description of the proposed policies for intelligent cooperative web caching policies for media objects based on J48 decision tree supervised machine learning algorithms in structured peer-to-peer systems, while this paper gives a full explanation for them.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

In the work of Xu et al.’s (2010), a study on media caching for peer-to-peer systems has been conducted which verified that peer-to-peer media queries can be answered from the cache. Furthermore, a peer-to-peer live streaming caching algorithm which is based on Sliding Window (SLW) has been proposed. It predicts and caches popular media objects according to the measured distribution. The proposed algorithm has been evaluated and compared to conventional caching strategies. A trace-driven simulation has been performed to evaluate the proposed approach. The results showed that the SLW algorithm performed close to an optimal algorithm which has full knowledge of future requests. This idea motivates us to build our policies based on peer-to-peer systems in delivering cached media objects to end-users where the traffic load is alleviated and the access latency is minimized.

In the work of Sripanidkulchai and Zhang (2005), an algorithm called interest-based shortcuts has been proposed based on Gnutella peer-to-peer system (Yasin et al., 2011). This algorithm takes into account peers’ interests where media objects are organized logically according to their interests over the existing network. Gnutella is a structured peer-to-peer system which uses query flooding that is considered as a limitation because it consumes the bandwidth of the network. Furthermore, it is not scalable. Interest-based shortcuts approach assumes a peer which has a media object that other peers
are interested in which may also have other media objects that they are also interested in. In the case of a cache miss, the request is forwarded to another peer that has the same interests. Thus, the overhead and network traffic is reduced. The logs file has been collected from Carnegie Mellon University (CMU) web cache. A trace-driven simulation has been carried to evaluate the performance of the proposed approach. In this work, we take the advantages of structured peer-to-peer systems. However, we adopt Chord protocol which has been presented in the work of Tracey Ho et al. (2006) because it is more scalable. Moreover, less overhead and network traffic are required.

Media objects caching improves the performance of media streams systems in terms of delivery time. Media objects have some characteristics that have to be considered when a caching algorithm is to be performed. In the work of Yasin et al. (2013), an overview of media streams caching in peer-to-peer systems has been presented, where the characteristics of media objects have been listed. In this work, we focus on media objects caching because there is no need to consider the characteristics of consistency where web objects are updated. Media objects usually follow the Write-Once-Read-Many (WORM) principle, which makes cache consistency issues more resilient. On the other hand, unlike other web objects that might be delivered with a resilient time tolerance, time characterizes media objects delivery and it is considered as a key point which plays a role in the Quality of Service (QoS) that determines the level of end-user’s satisfaction.

On the other hand, a key point of our approaches is to apply NB and J48 machine learning algorithms. In the works of Ali et al. (2012a) and Ali et al. (2012b), new approaches based on machine learning techniques have been proposed to improve the performance of conventional cache replacement strategies. Three machine learning techniques have been adopted that are Support Vector Machine (SVM), NB, and C4.5. The machine learning techniques are intelligently incorporated with conventional web caching techniques that are LRU, GDS, Greedy-Dual-Size-Frequency (GDSF), and Dynamic Aging (DA). These approaches depend on the capability of SVM, NB and C4.5 to learn from proxy log files and predict the class of web objects that would be revisited. The proposed approaches have been evaluated by trace-driven simulation and compared to the most relevant web caching policies. The simulation results showed that the proposed approaches improved the performance in terms of HR and BHR of the traditional policies on a range of datasets that have been collected from IRCache network. More details about IRCache network are available on http://www.ircache.net. Also, the proposed approaches can alleviate the cache pollution because they can
store the desired web objects and remove the unwanted web objects. Thus, they can optimize the cache usage appropriately and maximize the cache hits that lead in reducing the loads on the origin servers. Therefore, the proposed approaches can reduce costs for accessing the origin servers. This can reduce the network bandwidth that is used by the clients and Internet network traffic. Moreover, the latency of delivering web objects can be reduced accordingly.

However, these approaches have some disadvantages that appear in the additional computational overhead which is required for the target outputs preparation of the training phase when looking for future requests. In our work, we compare our proposed policies to the policies that have been presented in the works of Ali et al. (2012a) and Ali et al. (2012b).

Back Propagation Neural Network (BPNN) has been used in Neural Network Proxy Cache Replacement (NNPCR) in the work of Cobb and ElAarag (2008) and NNPCR-2 in the work of ElAarag and Romano (2009) for making cache replacement decisions in web caching policies where the web object is replaced based on the rating returned by BPNN. The network is a two-hidden layer feed-forward artificial neural network which belongs to the Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) model. NNPCR and NNPCR-2 have benefited from the advantages of neural networks’ properties mainly universal approximation and generalization. They are implemented in the Squid Proxy Cache version 3.1 to see their fair against the existing strategies implemented in Squid. The neural networks created by NNPCR and NNPCR-2 are able to classify training and testing sets with Correct Classification Ratio (CCR) values that indicate effective generalization. The trace files used for datasets have been collected from the IRCache network and have been cleaned before feeding them through the neural network. However, one of their disadvantages appears in the performance of BPNN which is influenced by the optimal selection of the network topology and its parameters. Furthermore, the learning process of BPNN is time consuming. Also, BPNN does not take into account the cost in replacement decisions. On the other hand, NB and J48 achieved a better accuracy and are much faster than BPNN.

In the work of Ali and Shamsuddin (2009), an Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) has been used to predict web objects that could be revisited again. The proposed approach assumed the splitting client-side of web cache into two caches that are short-term cache and long-term cache. The LRU web caching policy is used to remove web objects when the short-term cache is used to store web objects that are visited less than a threshold value, while long-term cache is used to store web objects that exceed this value. The LRU web caching policy is used to remove web objects when the short-term cache is full.
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cache is full. However, when the long-term cache is full, neuro-fuzzy system is employed to classify web objects into cacheable or uncacheable object. The old uncacheable web objects are selected to be removed from the long-term cache. Consequently, the cache pollution is alleviated and the cache space is utilized effectively. The proposed approach was evaluated by trace-driven simulation and compared to the most relevant web caching policies. The simulation results showed that the proposed method improves the performance in terms of HR, while, the performance in terms of BHR was not good enough because the proposed method did not take into account the cost and size of the predicted objects in cache replacement decisions. Moreover, it requires a long time and extra overheads for the training process. Furthermore, division of cache into two segments makes storing and organization of the web objects more complicated, especially with large web objects. On the other hand, NB and J48 achieved a better accuracy and are much faster than ANFIS.

MLP model is one of the popular neural network models. The MLP is a nonlinear model which its architecture consists of a description of the number of layers it has, the number of neurons in each layer, the activation function of each layer, and how the layers are connected to each other. In the work of Koskela et al. (2003), MLP model is used in web proxy caching by predicting the class of web object depending on the syntactic features of the HTML structure of a document and the HTTP responses of a server. Then, the class value is integrated with LRU to select web objects that have to be removed from the cache in order to optimize the web cache. Thus, it is called Least Recently Used Class (LRU-C). The trace logs file was obtained from the Finnish University and Research Network (FUNET) web cache. The experimental results showed that the proposed approach accomplished some improvements compared to the most relevant web caching policies in terms of web cache size. However, this approach ignored the frequency factor in web cache replacement decisions. On the other hand, it relies on some factors that do not have a direct affect on the performance of web caching. Furthermore, training phase consumes long time and requires extra computational overhead. Moreover, MLP model is more complex than NB and J48 classifiers that are adopted in our approaches.

**PROPOSED APPROACHES**

The proposed approaches take the advantages of both client-server and peer-to-peer systems where peers’ caches contents are shared in order to enhance the performance of the web caching policy. There are two different ways for configuring a peer-to-peer system that are unstructured peer-to-peer system
and structured peer-to-peer system (Xu et al., 2010; Yasin et al., 2011; Yasin et al., 2013). In this work, a structured peer-to-peer system is adopted where a pre-existing infrastructure such as having access points is required. Moreover, each peer keeps track of resources obtainable by its neighbours. Thus, fewer messages are needed for answering queries. Furthermore, structured peer-to-peer systems provide both low latency and load balancing. In this work, the Chord protocol which was presented in the work of Tracey Ho et al. (2006) is adopted for the structured peer-to-peer system. Chord is based on DHT in which hash functions are used to map peers and shared content references. The signaling overhead that is generated between peers is ignored because it does not have a big affect in terms of performance (Hara et al., 2010).

On the other hand, the core of the proposed approaches is to predict the class of the media object whether it will be revisited or not. Then, the class of the object is integrated with cooperative web caching policies to determine which object to be removed from the proxy cache when it is full. In this work, two supervised machine learning algorithms are implemented to classify objects in order to cope with the problem of web caching pollution that are: NB classifier and J48 decision tree classifier. They are popular supervised machine learning algorithms that have been applied successfully in many domains. Thus, media objects should be cached or replaced according to the best use of available cache space which improves hit rates, alleviates loads on the origin servers, and reduces network traffic. In this section, we present NB and J48 classifiers in details and how we integrate them in the proposed approaches.

Intelligent Cooperative Web Caching Policies for Media Objects Based on NB Classifier

Bayesian networks are supervised learning algorithms that have large popularity in several fields such as medical, military, control, forecasting, modeling, computer science, and statistics (Darwiche, 2010; de Melo & Sanchez, 2008; Goubanova & King, 2008; Shafaatununnur et al., 2012). NB classifier is a Bayesian network that has been applied successfully in many fields. Furthermore, NB is considered as a simple classifier due to independent assumptions among features. Moreover, it is more effective compared to other more sophisticated classifiers such as Support Vector Machine (SVM) (Chen & Hsieh, 2006). Thus, NB classifier is popular in solving various classification problems (Fan et al., 2009; Lu, Chiang et al., 2010).

In NB classifier, all attributes are assumed to be conditionally independent given the class label. The structure of the NB is illustrated in Figure 1. The term naïve comes from the independent assumption between attributes which ignores any correlation among them (Friedman et al., 1997).
In the training phase of the NB classifier, it is only required to estimate the prior probability $\Pr(C)$ using the Bayes theorem as shown in Eq. (2) (Ali et al., 2012a). Let $\mathcal{C} = c_j \mathcal{C} = c_j$ as in Eq. (3) (Ali et al., 2012a).

$$\Pr(C = c_j|d)$$

\[ (1) \]

Let $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_{|\mathcal{F}|}$ be the set of attributes with discrete values in the dataset $\mathcal{D}$. Given a test example $d = <F_1 = f_1', F_2 = f_2', \ldots, F_{|\mathcal{F}|} = f_{|\mathcal{F}|}>$ where $f_i'$ is a possible value of $f_i$. The posterior probability $\Pr(C = c_j|d)$ can be expressed using the Bayes theorem as shown in Eq. (2) (Ali et al., 2012a).

$$\Pr(C = c_j|F_1 = f_1, F_2 = f_2, \ldots, F_{|\mathcal{F}|} = f_{|\mathcal{F}|}) = \frac{\Pr(F_1 = f_1, F_2 = f_2, \ldots, F_{|\mathcal{F}|} = f_{|\mathcal{F}|}|C = c_j)\Pr(C = c_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{C}} \Pr(F_1 = f_1, F_2 = f_2, \ldots, F_{|\mathcal{F}|} = f_{|\mathcal{F}|}|C = c_k)\Pr(C = c_k)}$$

\[ (2) \]

The NB assumes that all the attributes are conditionally independent given the class $\mathcal{C} = c_j \mathcal{C} = c_j$ as in Eq. (3) (Ali et al., 2012a).

$$\Pr(F_1 = f_1, F_2 = f_2, \ldots, F_{|\mathcal{F}|} = f_{|\mathcal{F}|}|C = c_j) = \prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{F}} \Pr(F_i = f_i|C = c_j)$$

\[ (3) \]

After putting Eq. (3) in Eq. (2), the decision function is obtained as shown in Eq. (4) (Ali et al., 2012a).

$$\Pr(C = c_j|F_1 = f_1, F_2 = f_2, \ldots, F_{|\mathcal{F}|} = f_{|\mathcal{F}|}) = \frac{\Pr(C = c_j)\prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{F}} \Pr(F_i = f_i|C = c_j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\mathcal{C}} \Pr(C = c_k)\prod_{i=1}^{\mathcal{F}} \Pr(F_i = f_i|C = c_k)}$$

\[ (4) \]
In classification tasks, the numerator of Eq. (4) is only needed to decide the
class with the highest probability for each example because the denominator
is the same for each class. Therefore, we can decide the most probable class
for a given test example using Eq. (5) (Ali et al., 2012a).

\[ c = \arg \max_{c_j} \Pr(C = c_j) \prod_{i=1}^{F} \Pr(F_i = f_i | C = c_j) \quad (5) \]

The NB classifier has many advantages compared to other classifiers (Fan
et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2008). Moreover, the NB classifier is
simple to be understood and simple to be interpreted. Furthermore, it performs
well in many applications.

In this work, the NB classifier is built from proxy logs file to classify media
objects that are visited by users whether they would be revisited soon or not.
Using Eq. (5), the value of \( c \) or the class of a media object which is requested
by the user is assigned to the most probable class. Then, the cooperative
conventional web proxy caching policies are improved using the classification
information that is used to build intelligent cooperative web proxy caching
policies with better performance in terms of HR and BHR.

In the training phase of NB classifier, it is only required to estimate the prior
probabilities \( \Pr(C = c_j) \) and the conditional probabilities \( \Pr(F_i = f_i | C = c_j) \)
from the proxy training dataset using Eq. (6) (Ali et al., 2012a) and Eq. (7) (Ali et
al., 2012a).

\[ \Pr(C = c_j) = \frac{\text{No. of examples of class } c_j}{\text{Total No. of examples}} \quad (6) \]

\[ \Pr(F_i = f_i | C = c_j) = \frac{\text{No. of examples with } F_i = f_i \text{ and class } c_j}{\text{No. of examples of class } c_j} \quad (7) \]

Then, the NB classifier can predict the class of the media objects \( c \) using Eq.
(5). The value of \( c \) of a media object which is requested by the user is assigned
to the most probable class, either 1 or 0.

In this work, three intelligent cooperative web caching policies for media
objects based on NB classifier are presented as listed below:

- Intelligent Cooperative Naïve Bayes Least Recently Used (IC-NB-LRU).
- Intelligent Cooperative Naïve Bayes Least Frequently Used (IC-NB-LFU).
- Intelligent Cooperative Naïve Bayes Greedy Dual Size (IC-NB-GDS).
IC-NB-LRU. The proposed IC-NB-LRU approach works as follows: assume we have a media object \( g \) that is the least recently used and its class is 1 which means it will be revisited. However, a media object \( k \) has the same priority and its class is 0 which means will not be revisited. In this case, the cache manager will choose object \( k \) as a victim to be removed from the cache.

As a result, the proposed IC-NB-LRU approach can efficiently remove unwanted media objects to provide space for new ones. Thus, cache pollution is reduced and the available cache space is utilized effectively. Consequently, the HR and BHR can be improved by using the proposed IC-NB-LRU approach.

IC-NB-LFU. The LFU web caching policy suffers from cache pollution. Thus, it might keep media objects in the cache, while they are not going to be revisited in the future. In IC-NB-LFU, the NB classifier is integrated with cooperative LFU to utilize the cache.

Assume we have a media object \( g \) that has the lowest priority which means it is the least frequently used and its class is 1. However, a media object \( k \) has the same priority and its class is 0. In this case, the cache manager will choose object \( k \) to be removed from the cache. Consequently, IC-NB-LFU approach improves the performance in terms of HR and BHR.

IC-NB-GDS. The GDS web caching policy has been proposed as an extension of SIZE web caching policy in order to avoid cache pollution. GDS takes into account the size, recency, and the cost to fetch the media object. In IC-NB-GDS, assume we have a media object \( g \) which is chosen by normal GDS to be removed from the cache and its class is 1. On the other hand, object \( k \) has the same priority and its class is 0. IC-NB-GDS will choose the media object \( k \) to be removed from the cache. Consequently, the proposed IC-NB-GDS approach improves the performance in terms of HR and BHR.

Intelligent Cooperative Web Caching Policies for Media Objects Based on J48 Classifier

Decision tree is a popular supervised machine learning algorithm which has a large popularity in several fields such as medical, marketing, finance, and computer science. It is simple and easy to be understood. C4.5 is an algorithm which is used to generate a decision tree that has been developed by Quinlan (1993). J48 classifier is a Java implementation of the C4.5 algorithm in Weka data mining tool. More details about Weka are available on http://www.cs.waikato.ac.nz/ml/weka/s. In this work, J48 is used to build the decision tree.
The J48 classifier is used to decide the target value of a new sample based on different attribute values of the available data. The internal nodes of the decision tree indicate the different attributes; while the branches between the nodes refer to the possible values of these attributes. Furthermore, the terminal nodes (leafs) indicate the classification of the target. The attribute to be predicted is called the dependent variable, because its value depends on all the other attributes’ values. These attributes are called the independent variables (Yasin et al., 2014b).

The J48 classifier implements C4.5 algorithm for generating a pruned or unpruned C4.5 decision tree. The decision trees created by J48 classifier can be used for classification. The J48 classifier creates decision trees from a set of labeled training data using the idea of information entropy. Each attribute of the data might be used to make a decision by dividing the data into smaller subsets. The J48 examines the normalized information gain which results from choosing an attribute for dividing the data. The attribute with the highest normalized information gain is used to make a decision. Then the J48 is repeated on smaller subsets. Dividing procedure stops when all instances in a subset belong to the same class. Finally, a leaf node is created to choose that class in the decision tree. On the other hand, it might happen that features do not give any information gain. In this case the J48 classifier creates a decision node higher up in the decision tree using the expected value of the class.

The J48 classifier can handle continuous and discrete attributes. Also, it can handle training data with missing attribute values and attributes with differing costs. Moreover, it provides pruning option for trees after creation.

In the J48 classifier, Information Gain and Information Gain Ratio are the impurity functions that are used for attributes’ selection. The Information Gain is computed using Eq. (8) (Ali et al., 2012b). Gain(S, A) of an attribute A, relative to a collection of instances S, where Value(A) is the set of all possible values for attribute A, and \( S_v \), \( S_v \) is the subset of S for which attribute A has value \( v \).

\[
\text{Gain}(S, A) = \text{Entropy} (S, A) - \sum_{v=\text{Value}(A)} \frac{|S_v|}{|S|} \text{Entropy} (S_v) \tag{8}
\]

Entropy(S) is defined as Eq. (9) (Ali et al., 2012b):

\[
\text{Entropy} (S) = -\sum_{j=1}^{l_c} \Pr(c_j) \log_2 \Pr(c_j) \tag{9}
\]
where \(|C|\) is the number of classes. In this work, we have two classes as defined in Eq. (10)

\[
c = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if the media object would be revisited} \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

and \(\text{Pr}(c_j \mid c)\) indicates the probability of class \(c_j\) in \(c\). \(\text{Pr}(c_j \mid c)\) refers to the number of instances of class \(c_j\) in \(S\) divided by the total number of instances in \(S\). The first part on the right hand side of Eq. (10) (Ali et al., 2012b) indicates the Entropy of the original collection \(S\); while, the second part is the expected value of the Entropy after \(S\) is partitioned using attribute \(A\).

Eq. (11) (Ali et al., 2012b) is used to calculate the Information Gain Ratio that is used as the impurity function for attributes’ selection.

\[
\text{Gain Ratio}(S, A) = \frac{\text{Gain}(S, A)}{\text{Split Information}(S, A)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where Split Information\((S, A)\) is computed using Eq. (12) (Ali et al., 2012b):

\[
\text{Split Information}(S, A) = - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{|S_i|}{|S|} \log_2 \frac{|S_i|}{|S|}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where \(S_i\) through \(S_k\) are the \(k\) subsets of instances that are gathered from partitioning \(S\) by the \(k\)-values of attribute \(A\). On the other hand, Split Information is actually the Entropy of \(S\) with respect to attribute \(A\) values.

In this work, three intelligent cooperative web caching policies for media objects based on J48 classifier are presented as listed below:

- Intelligent Cooperative J48 Least Recently Used (IC-J48-LRU).
- Intelligent Cooperative J48 Least Frequently Used (IC-J48-LFU).
- Intelligent Cooperative J48 Greedy Dual Size (IC-J48-GDS).

**IC-J48-LRU.** The proposed IC-J48-LRU approach works same as IC-NB-LRU approach; however, J48 classifier is applied to generate the decision tree which is created using the data that are extracted from proxy log file. In the decision tree, the leaf node represents the class of the target which is either 1 that means the object will be revisited or 0 otherwise. The predicted class is integrated with the cooperative LRU web caching policy in order to enhance the performance in terms of HR and BHR.
**IC-J48-LFU.** The proposed IC-J48-LFU approach works same as IC-NB-LFU; however, J48 classifier is used to generate the tree and leafs represent the class of the target. The IC-J48-LFU approach improves the performance in terms of HR and BHR.

**IC-J48-GDS.** The proposed IC-J48-GDS approach works same as IC-NB-GDS approach; however, J48 classifier is used to generate the tree which is created using the data that are extracted from proxy log file. In the J48 tree, the leaf node represents the class of the target which is either 1 that means the object will be revisited or 0 otherwise. The predicted class is integrated with the cooperative GDS web caching policy in order to enhance the performance in terms of HR and BHR.

---

**Figure 2.** A general flow chart of the proposed intelligent cooperative web proxy caching approaches.
A general flow chart of the proposed approaches is illustrated in Figure 2. NB and J48 machine learning algorithms require two phases before their implementation that are: training phase and testing phase. In training phase, NB and J48 machine learning algorithms use the training data to generate classification models that are called classifiers. In testing phase, NB and J48 are evaluated using the testing dataset to get the classification accuracy.

NB and J48 classifiers are created using the data that are extracted from proxy log file. The class of the target is either 1 that means the object will be revisited or 0 otherwise. The predicted class is integrated with the cooperative web caching policies in order to enhance their performance in terms of HR and BHR.

Assume that a user requests a media object \( g \). If \( g \) exists in the local cache or any other peer’s cache, the case is a cache hit, and \( g \) is retrieved from the cache. The attributes of \( g \) are updated for computing the priority of \( g \) based on the classifier class whether object \( g \) would be revisited or not. Consequently, the cache manager takes into account the classification decision which is integrated with the cache replacement policy for updating the priority of \( g \). Thus, object \( g \) is reordered in the cache list based on its new priority. In the case of a cache miss, object \( g \) is fetched from the origin server. Then, the attributes of \( g \) are collected to compute its priority. After that, the J48 classifier class is used to determine whether object \( g \) would be revisited again or not. The priority of \( g \) is computed depending on the web caching policy and integrated with its class before it is stored in the cache. In other words, the recency, frequency, size, and cost indexes are integrated with the value of class to determine which object has to be removed. For example, if we have two objects that have the same priority but different class values, the cache manager will remove the object which its class value is 0. Figure 3 illustrates a pseudo code of the proposed approaches.

**EXPERIMENT**

The proposed approaches were evaluated using a trace-driven simulation. The proposed approaches were compared to the conventional approaches. Furthermore, they were compared to existing intelligent web proxy caching approach that used machine learning algorithms. In this section, the experiment is discussed in details.
Figure 3. A pseudo code of the proposed approaches.

**Raw Data Collection.** First, raw data were collected from a proxy server. When a user requested a page from the web server through the proxy server, the proxy server recorded this event into a log file. Information about users’ behaviours can be gathered from the logs’ file. The proxy logs’ file was considered as a prior knowledge of users’ behaviours and could be used as an input of the J48 and NB classifiers. The proxy logs were collected from the IRCache network for the requested web objects on the 8th of March 2013.
In this work, 142759 records were collected. Each entry of a proxy log file contains ten fields that are listed below:

1. **Timestamp.** The time when the client socket is closed.
2. **Elapsed Time.** The elapsed time of the request, in milliseconds. This is the time between the accept() and close() of the client socket. For persistent HTTP connections, this is the time between reading the first byte of the request, and writing the last byte of the reply.
3. **Client Address.** A random IP address identifying the client. The client-to-address mapping stays the same for all requests in a single log file. The mapping is not the same between log files.
4. **Log Tag and HTTP Code.** The Log Tag describes how the request was treated locally (hit, miss). The HTTP status code is the reply code taken from the first line of the HTTP reply header. Non-HTTP requests may have zero reply codes.
5. **Size.** The number of bytes written to the client.
6. **Request Method.** The HTTP request method.
7. **URL.** The requested URL. CGI query arguments (anything following a ‘?’) are not logged.
8. **User ID.** Always ‘-’ for the IRCache logs.
9. **Hierarchy Data and Hostname.** A description of how and where the requested and Hostname object was fetched.
10. **Content Type.** The Content-type field from the HTTP reply.

**Data Pre-Processing.** The format of raw data is not suitable to be used directly in our simulation because it would be time consuming. Thus, in data pre-processing step, data were organized in a suitable format by removing the irrelevant data, uncacheable data, and entries with unsuccessful HTTP status codes from the logs’ file. Also, the unnecessary fields that are Log Tag, HTTP Code, Request Method, User ID, Hierarchy Data and Hostname were ignored because they did not have any effect on caching policy.

On the other hand, in order to reduce the simulation time, each URL was replaced with an integer identifier. Also, the Client Address was replaced with an integer identifier. Moreover, the type of the web objects we focused on was media objects that their principle was based on Write-Once-Read-Many (WORM) which might be considered as a limitation of this work. Subsequently, the data were organized in such format for better performance of classifiers. After pre-processed step we got 63943 records that were divided into two groups that are: 19996 records (around 30%) were used for training phase, while 43947 records (around 70%) were used for testing phase.

Table 1 lists an example of IRCache logs; while, an example of proxy logs after the pre-processing step is listed in Table 2.
Table 1

An example of IRCache logs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timestamp</th>
<th>Elapsed Time (ms)</th>
<th>Client Address</th>
<th>Log Tag and HTTP Code</th>
<th>Size (Byte)</th>
<th>Request Method</th>
<th>URL</th>
<th>User ID</th>
<th>Hierarchy Data and Hostname</th>
<th>Data and Hostname</th>
<th>Content Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1362787085.351</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>103.216.22.202</td>
<td>TCP_MISS/200</td>
<td>37121</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://www.facebook.com:443">www.facebook.com:443</a></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NONE/-</td>
<td>image/gif</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362763486.475</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>103.216.22.202</td>
<td>TCP_HIT/200</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://profile.ak.fbcdn.net/hprofile-ak-ash4/211679_100000918440883_331247400_q.jpg">http://profile.ak.fbcdn.net/hprofile-ak-ash4/211679_100000918440883_331247400_q.jpg</a></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NONE/-</td>
<td>image/gif</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362780652.845</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>103.216.22.202</td>
<td>TCP_HIT/504</td>
<td>4243</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://www.msftncsi.com/ncsi.txt">http://www.msftncsi.com/ncsi.txt</a></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NONE/-</td>
<td>image/gif</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362702928.366</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>103.216.22.202</td>
<td>TCP_MISS/404</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://174.36.231.13/relaxmovs/images/-bookmark1.gif">http://174.36.231.13/relaxmovs/images/-bookmark1.gif</a></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>NONE/-</td>
<td>image/gif</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362747135.755</td>
<td>8777</td>
<td>107.103.254.138</td>
<td>TCP_MISS/000</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://connect.facebook.net/pt_BR/all.js?%5B2qsy6Xx3albpkUtxTjIN">http://connect.facebook.net/pt_BR/all.js?[2qsy6Xx3albpkUtxTjIN</a>]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DIRECT/75.126.60.194</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362747148.163</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>107.103.254.138</td>
<td>TCP_MISS/200</td>
<td>9921</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://connect.facebook.net/pt_BR/all.js?%5B3ks2ACi99ubzHoEYEUSgL">http://connect.facebook.net/pt_BR/all.js?[3ks2ACi99ubzHoEYEUSgL</a>]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DIRECT/75.126.60.194</td>
<td>application/javascript</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362747117.212</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>107.103.254.138</td>
<td>TCP_MISS/200</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td><a href="http://connect.facebook.net/pt_BR/all.js?%5B0vl8zXzOeHj3ZW5.1Bf2.L">http://connect.facebook.net/pt_BR/all.js?[0vl8zXzOeHj3ZW5.1Bf2.L</a>]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DIRECT/184.170.128.58</td>
<td>application/json</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362747047.895</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>107.103.254.138</td>
<td>TCP_MISS/200</td>
<td>2044</td>
<td>POST</td>
<td><a href="http://connect.facebook.net/pt_BR/all.js-">http://connect.facebook.net/pt_BR/all.js-</a></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>DIRECT/199.7.57.72</td>
<td>application/ocsp-response</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

An example of log entries after data pre-processing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timestamp</th>
<th>Elapsed Time (ms)</th>
<th>Client Address</th>
<th>Size (Byte)</th>
<th>URL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1362787085.351</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>37121</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362763486.475</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362780652.845</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4243</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362702928.366</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362747135.755</td>
<td>8777</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362747148.163</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9921</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362747117.212</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362747047.895</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2044</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Performance Evaluation. The performance of a web caching policy might be measured using many metrics. In this simulation, two main performance metrics were used that are the HR and the BHR because they are the most widely used metrics for evaluating the performance of web proxy caching policies (Ali et al., 2012a). The HR is defined as a percentage of the total number of requests satisfied by the cache either local cache or peers’ caches divided by the total number of requests; while, BHR is the total number of bytes found in the caches divided by the total number of bytes requested by the user within an observation period. The BHR measures how much bandwidth the cache has saved.

Let $N$ be the total number of requests of web objects and $x_i = 1$ if the request $i$ is in the cache, while $x_i = 0$ otherwise. Mathematically, HR is defined as Eq. (13), while BHR is defined as Eq. (14).

$$HR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i}{N}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$BHR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $S_i$ is the size of the $i$th request.

The proposed policies were compared to the conventional approaches that are LRU, LFU, and GDS. Furthermore, in this work, we compared our proposed policies to the existing intelligent web proxy caching approaches that have
been presented in the works of Ali et al. (2012a) and Ali et al. (2012b), where C4.5-LRU, C4.5-LFU, C4.5-GDS intelligent web caching approaches have been proposed based on C4.5 decision tree supervised machine learning algorithm; while, NB-LRU, NB-LFU, NB-GDS intelligent web caching approaches have been proposed based on NB supervised machine learning algorithm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the results that are gathered from running the simulation are presented and they are discussed in details.

Figure 4 shows the performance of the IC-J48-LRU in terms of HR. It is compared to the performance of normal LRU and the performance of the C4.5-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB; while Figure 5 shows the performance of the IC-J48-LRU in terms of BHR. Also, it is compared to the performance of normal LRU and the performance of the C4.5-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB. The parameter infinite cache is used to terminate the simulation.

![Figure 4. The HR performance of normal LRU, C4.5-LRU, and IC-J48-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.](http://jict.uum.edu.my)
Figure 5. The BHR performance of normal LRU, C4.5-LRU, and IC-J48-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 6 shows the performance of the IC-J48-LFU in terms of HR. It is compared to the performance of normal LFU and the performance of the C4.5-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB; while Figure 7 shows the performance of the IC-J48-LFU in terms of BHR. Also, it is compared to the performance of normal LFU and the performance of the C4.5-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 6. The HR performance of normal LFU, C4.5-LFU, and IC-J48-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.
Figure 7. The BHR performance of normal LFU, C4.5-LFU, and IC-J48-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 8 shows the performance of the IC-J48-GDS in terms of HR. It is compared to the performance of normal GDS and the performance of the C4.5-GDS, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB; while Figure 9 shows the performance of the IC-J48-GDS in terms of BHR. Also, it is compared to the performance of normal GDS and the performance of the C4.5-GDS, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 8. The HR performance of normal GDS, C4.5-GDS, and IC-J48-GDS, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.
Figure 9. The BHR performance of normal GDS, C4.5-GDS, and IC-J48-GDS, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 10 shows the performance of IC-NB-LRU in terms of HR. It is compared to the performance of normal LRU and the performance of NB-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB; while Figure 11 shows the performance of IC-NB-LRU in terms of BHR. Also, it is compared to the performance of normal LRU and the performance of NB-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 10. The HR performance of normal LRU, NB-LRU, and IC-NB-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 11. The BHR performance of normal LRU, NB-LRU, and IC-NB-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 12 shows the performance of IC-NB-LFU in terms of HR. It is compared to the performance of normal LFU and the performance of NB-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB; while Figure 13 shows the performance of IC-NB-LFU in terms of BHR. Also, it is compared to the performance of normal LFU and the performance of NB-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.
Figure 11. The BHR performance of normal LRU, NB-LRU, and IC-NB-LRU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 12 shows the performance of IC-NB-LFU in terms of HR. It is compared to the performance of normal LFU and the performance of NB-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB; while Figure 13 shows the performance of IC-NB-LFU in terms of BHR. Also, it is compared to the performance of normal LFU and the performance of NB-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 12. The HR performance of normal LFU, NB-LFU, and IC-NB-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.
Figure 13. The BHR performance of normal LFU, NB-LFU, and IC-NB-LFU, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 14 shows the performance of IC-NB-GDS in terms of HR. It is compared to the performance of normal GDS and the performance of NB-GDS, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB; while Figure 15 shows the performance of IC-NB-GDS in terms of BHR. Also, it is compared to the performance of normal GDS and the performance of NB-GDS, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.

Figure 14. The HR performance of normal GDS, NB-GDS, and IC-NB-GDS, where the infinite cache size is 32 GB.
Referring to Figure 4, it can be observed that IC-J48-LRU performs better than LRU and C4.5-LRU in terms of HR. For example, the HR of IC-J48-LRU is around 29% when the cache size is 1 MB; while the HR of LRU is around 28.1% and the HR of C4.5-LRU is around 28.5% for the same cache size.

Referring to Figure 5, it can be observed that IC-J48-LRU performs better than LRU in terms of BHR. For example, the BHR of IC-J48-LRU is around 29.27% when the cache size is 64 MB; while the BHR of LRU is around 28.56% and the BHR of C4.5-LRU is around 29.19% for the same cache size. This is mainly due to the capability of IC-J48-LRU approach for storing the preferred media objects for longer time. On the other hand, it removes unwanted media objects from the cache at earlier stages.

Referring to Figure 6, it can be observed that IC-J48-LFU performs better than LFU and C4.5-LFU in terms of HR. For example, the HR of IC-J48-LFU is around 28.9% when the cache size is 1 MB; while the HR of LFU is around 28.2% and the HR of C4.5-LFU is around 28.5% for the same cache size.

Referring to Figure 7, it can be observed that IC-J48-LFU performs better than LFU and C4.5-LFU in terms of BHR. For example, the BHR of IC-J48-LFU is around 29.31% when the cache size is 64 MB; while the BHR of
LFU is around 28.60% and the BHR of C4.5-LFU is around 29.24% for the same cache size. This is because IC-J48-LFU approach is capable of storing the preferred media objects for longer time. On the other hand, it removes unwanted media objects from the cache at earlier stages.

Referring to Figure 8, it can be observed that IC-J48-GDS performs better than GDS and C4.5-GDS in terms of HR. For example, the HR of IC-J48-GDS is around 30.5% when the cache size is 1 MB; while the HR of GDS is around 29.76% and the HR of C4.5-GDS is around 30% for the same cache size.

Referring to Figure 9, it can be observed that IC-J48-GDS performs better than GDS and C4.5-GDS in terms of BHR. For example, the BHR of IC-J48-GDS is around 29.40% when the cache size is 64 MB; while the BHR of GDS is around 29.10% and the BHR of C4.5-GDS is around 29.35% for the same cache size.

Also, it can be observed that IC-J48-GDS achieved slightly higher HR and BHR compared to IC-J48-LFU and IC-J48-LRU because J48-C-GDS takes into account more than one factor when a cache replacement decision is required.

Referring to Figure 10, it can be observed that IC-NB-LRU performs better than LRU and NB-LRU in terms of HR. For example, the HR of IC-NB-LRU is around 30.65% when the cache size is 1 MB; while the HR of LRU is around 30.51% and the HR of NB-LRU is around 30.57% for the same cache size.

Referring to Figure 11, it can be observed that IC-NB-LRU performs better than LRU in terms of BHR. For example, the BHR of IC-NB-LRU is around 30.52% when the cache size is 64 MB; while the BHR of LRU is around 30.27% and the BHR of NB-LRU is around 30.45% for the same cache size. This is mainly due to the capability of IC-NB-LRU approach for storing the preferred media objects for longer time. On the other hand, it removes unwanted media objects from the cache at earlier stages.

Referring to Figure 12, it can be observed that IC-NB-LFU performs better than LFU and NB-LFU in terms of HR. For example, the HR of IC-NB-LFU is around 29.65% when the cache size is 1 MB; while the HR of LFU is around 29.50% and the HR of NB-LFU is around 29.60% for the same cache size.
Referring to Figure 13, it can be observed that IC-NB-LFU performs better than LFU and NB-LFU in terms of BHR. For example, the BHR of IC-NB-LFU is around 29.36% when the cache size is 64 MB; while the BHR of LFU is around 29.21% and the BHR of NB-LFU is around 29.31% for the same cache size. This is because IC-NB-LFU approach is capable of storing the preferred media objects for longer time. On the other hand, it removes unwanted media objects from the cache at earlier stages.

Referring to Figure 14, it can be observed that IC-NB-GDS performs better than GDS and NB-GDS in terms of HR. For example, the HR of IC-NB-GDS is around 31.15% when the cache size is 1 MB; while the HR of GDS is around 31% and the HR of NB-GDS is around 31.05% for the same cache size.

Referring to Figure 15, it can be observed that IC-NB-GDS performs better than GDS and NB-GDS in terms of BHR. For example, the BHR of IC-NB-GDS is around 31.27% when the cache size is 64 MB; while the BHR of GDS is around 30.71% and the BHR of NB-GDS is around 31.12% for the same cache size.

Also, it can be observed that IC-NB-GDS achieved slightly higher HR and BHR compared to IC-NB-LFU and IC-NB-LRU because IC-NB-GDS takes into account more than one factor when a cache replacement decision is required.

In computer science, a strategy that produces a high HR can maximize satisfaction of user’s requests from proxy cache, and minimize average request latency. However, a strategy with a higher BHR is used if minimizing of the outside network traffic is more desirable.

Referring to the results, it can be observed that when the cache size is increased, the HR and BHR are increased for all algorithms. On the other hand, the increasing percentage is reduced when the cache size is increased. In a certain cache size, the performance of both HR and BHR became stable. Also, when the cache size is small, objects replacement are frequently required. Thus, the affects the proposed policies on the performance of replacement policy are clearly noted.

In summary, the experimental results show that the proposed policies improve the performance of the conventional web caching policies. On the other
hand, the increasing percentages of HR and BHR that are observed are not much as what are expected. This is because most of the objects are visited for one time only.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Web caching plays a key role in delivering web items to end users in WWW. On the other hand, cache size is considered as a limitation of web caching. Furthermore, retrieving the same media object from the origin server many times consumes the network bandwidth. Also, full caching for media objects is not a practical solution and consumes cache storage in keeping few media objects because of its limited capacity. Moreover, traditional web caching policies such as LRU, LFU, and GDS suffer from caching pollution where media objects that are stored in the cache are not frequently visited which negatively affects on the performance of web proxy caching. In this work, intelligent cooperative web caching approaches based on NB and J48 decision tree supervised machine learning algorithms have been presented. The proposed approaches have taken the advantages of structured peer-to-peer systems where the contents peers’ caches are shared using DHT in order to enhance the performance of the web caching policy. The performances of the proposed approaches have been evaluated by running a trace-driven simulation on a dataset that has been collected from IRCache network. The results have demonstrated that the new proposed policies have improved the performance of traditional web caching policies that are LRU, LFU, and GDS in terms of HR and BHR. Moreover, the results are compared to the most relevant and state-of-the-art web proxy caching policies that have been presented in the works of Ali et al. (2012a) and Ali et al. (2012b). New approaches that are based on other supervised machine learning algorithms are considered as a future work.
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